Slides @ Justin-zhang.com

PSO 8 Graph

I can't wait for spring break

Any fun plans

Unfortunately busy week so no slides today :(

Unfortunately busy week so no slides today :(

JUST KIDDING



Question 1

(Adjacency-list Representation)

1. Given an adjacency-list representation of a directed graph, how long does it take to compute the out-degree of a vertex? How long does it take to compute the in-degree of a vertex?

2. The transpose of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^{\top} = (V, E^{\top})$, where $E^{\top} := \{(v, u) : (u, v) \in E\}$. In other words, G^{\top} is G with all its edges reversed. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^{\top} from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.

3. The square of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^2 = (V, E^2)$, where $(u, v) \in E^2$ if and only if G contains a path with at most two edges between u and v. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^2 from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.

What is an adjacency list?

Adjacency list

A linked list per vertex

E.g. if undirected..



Vertex	Adjacency
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

Adjacency list

A linked list per vertex

E.g. if **directed**...



Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	



Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	



Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	

O(|Adjaceny list|)

Try counting the indegree for v = 1



Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	

For indeg. of vertex i:

Iterate over each vertex list other than i, Count for every instant of i you see

O(|E|) time



Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	21
4	1
5	1
6	

Question 1

(Adjacency-list Representation)

1. Given an adjacency-list representation of a directed graph, how long does it take to compute the out-degree of a vertex? How long does it take to compute the in-degree of a vertex?

2. The transpose of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^{\top} = (V, E^{\top})$, where $E^{\top} := \{(v, u) : (u, v) \in E\}$. In other words, G^{\top} is G with all its edges reversed. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^{\top} from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.

3. The square of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^2 = (V, E^2)$, where $(u, v) \in E^2$ if and only if G contains a path with at most two edges between u and v. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^2 from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.

Let's see how this looks..



We want to go from this

Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	



Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	

We want to go from this to this



Vertex	Adjacency (<i>points</i> to)
1	3,4
2	3
3	2
4	
5	1
6	



Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	

- 1. Iterate through each vertex list i
- 2. Add the "reverse" to the new adjacency list



Vertex	Adjacency (<i>points</i> to)
1	3,4
2	3
3	2
4	
5	1
6	





to)

3,4

3

2

1

Adjacency (points

- 1. Iterate through each vertex list i
- 2. Add the "reverse" to the new adjacency list







1

- Iterate through each vertex list i 1.
- 2. Add the "reverse" to the new adjacency list







- 1. Iterate through each vertex list i
- 2. Add the "reverse" to the new adjacency list





Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	

1

- Iterate through each vertex list i 1.
- Add the "reverse" to the new 2. adjacency list





Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	

to)

3,4

3

1

Adjacency (points

Easiest algorithm

- 1. Iterate through each vertex list i
- 2. Add the "reverse" to the new adjacency list

Runtime?





Vertex	Adjacency (points to)
1	
2	3
3	2,1
4	1
5	1
6	

Easiest algorithm

- 1. Iterate through each vertex list i
- 2. Add the "reverse" to the new adjacency list

Runtime? O(|V| + |E|)



Question 1

(Adjacency-list Representation)

1. Given an adjacency-list representation of a directed graph, how long does it take to compute the out-degree of a vertex? How long does it take to compute the in-degree of a vertex?

2. The transpose of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^{\top} = (V, E^{\top})$, where $E^{\top} := \{(v, u) : (u, v) \in E\}$. In other words, G^{\top} is G with all its edges reversed. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^{\top} from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.

3. The square of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^2 = (V, E^2)$, where $(u, v) \in E^2$ if and only if G contains a path with at most two edges between u and v. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^2 from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.

Square of this graph?



Question 1

(Adjacency-list Representation)

1. Given an adjacency-list representation of a directed graph, how long does it take to compute the out-degree of a vertex? How long does it take to compute the in-degree of a vertex?

2. The transpose of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^{\top} = (V, E^{\top})$, where $E^{\top} := \{(v, u) : (u, v) \in E\}$. In other words, G^{\top} is G with all its edges reversed. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^{\top} from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.

3. The square of a directed graph G = (V, E) is the graph $G^2 = (V, E^2)$, where $(u, v) \in E^2$ if and only if G contains a path with at most two edges between u and v. Describe an efficient algorithm for computing G^2 from G for the adjacency-list representations of G and analyze the runtime of your algorithm.



Square of this graph?



How do we get 3's edges (ignore every else for now)



How do we get 3's edges (ignore every else for now)





How do we get 3's edges (ignore every else for now)



edge comes from _ edge comes from _ They both share a (3,4) edge

How do we get 3's edges (ignore every else for now)



They both share a (3,4) edge

- Idea: when adding edge (3,4),

Add all edges pointing to 3

How do we get 3's edges (ignore every else for now)



They both share a (3,4) edge

- Idea: when adding edge (i,j),

Add all edges pointing to i (how do we get this?)

How do we get 3's edges (ignore every else for now)



They both share a (3,4) edge

- Idea: when adding edge (i,j),

Add all edges pointing to i to j (how do we get this?) G^{T} .adjList(i) is exactly this!
















































(Adjacency-matrix Representation)

1. Give an adjacency-matrix representation for a complete binary search tree on 7 vertices numbered from 1 to 7.

2. Show how to determine in O(|V|) time, whether a directed graph G contains a **universal-sink**, i.e. a vertex with in-degree |V| - 1 and out-degree 0, given an adjacency-matrix for G.

What in the world in an adjacency matrix?

(Adjacency-matrix Representation)

1. Give an adjacency-matrix representation for a complete binary search tree on 7 vertices numbered from 1 to 7.

2. Show how to determine in O(|V|) time, whether a directed graph G contains a **universal-sink**, i.e. a vertex with in-degree |V| - 1 and out-degree 0, given an adjacency-matrix for G.

What in the world in an adjacency matrix?

Adjacency Matrix

Edges represented in a |V| x |V| matrix 1 2 3 4 5 6 E.g. if undirected.. 3

Adjacency Matrix

Edges represented in a |V| x |V| matrix 1 2 3 4 5 6 E.g. if **directed**...

"Row goes to column"

(Adjacency-matrix Representation)

1. Give an adjacency-matrix representation for a complete binary search tree on 7 vertices numbered from 1 to 7.

2. Show how to determine in O(|V|) time, whether a directed graph G contains a **universal-sink**, i.e. a vertex with in-degree |V| - 1 and out-degree 0, given an adjacency-matrix for G.

Someone give me a complete binary search tree

(Adjacency-matrix Representation)

1. Give an adjacency-matrix representation for a complete binary search tree on 7 vertices numbered from 1 to 7.

2. Show how to determine in O(|V|) time, whether a directed graph G contains a **universal-sink**, i.e. a vertex with in-degree |V| - 1 and out-degree 0, given an adjacency-matrix for G.







What do I notice about the adjacency matrix (specifically column 3)

2 3 4 5 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ \bigcirc \bigcirc O O



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)





Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s





Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s

1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry

Algorithm:

2 3 4 5 (O 0 0 0



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s

2. while i < |V|:

1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry

Algorithm:





Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s



Algorithm:

- 1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry
- 2. while i < |V|:
 - a. If entry(i,j) = 0: i += 1 \\go right



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s



Algorithm:

- 1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry
- 2. while i < |V|:
 - a. If entry(i,j) = 0: i += 1 \\go right
 - b. else: j += 1 \\go down



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s



Algorithm:

- 1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry
- 2. while i < |V|:
 - a. If entry(i,j) = 0: i += 1 \\go right
 - b. else: j += 1 \\go down

We only go down when entry(i,j) = 1



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s



Algorithm:

- 1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry
- 2. while i < |V| :
 - a. If entry(i,j) = 0: i += 1 \\go right
 - b. else: j += 1 \\go down

We only go down when entry(i,j) = 1By obs 1, we will go down 2 times at most



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s



Algorithm:

- 1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry
- 2. while i < |V| :
 - a. If entry(i,j) = 0: i += 1 \log right
 - b. else: j += 1 \\go down

We only go down when entry(i,j) = 1By obs 1, we will go down 2 times at most We go right when entry(i,j) = 0



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s



Algorithm:

- 1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry
- 2. while i < |V| :
 - a. If entry(i,j) = 0: i += 1 \log right
 - b. else: j += 1 \\go down

We only go down when entry(i,j) = 1By obs 1, we will go down 2 times at most We go right when entry(i,j) = 0



Obs 1: If universal sink, col 3 has 1 in every entry except (3,3)

Obs 2: row 3 is all 0s



Algorithm:

- 1. Start at (i,j) = (1,1) entry
 - 2. while i < |V| :
 - a. If entry(i,j) = 0: i += 1 \log right
 - b. else: j += 1 \\go down

We only go down when entry(i,j) = 1By obs 1, we will go down 2 times at most We go right when entry(i,j) = 0 By obs 2, we go right |V| times

(Graphs)

1. True or False:

- (1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.
- (2) There exists a simple, undirected graph G with n vertices, whose vertex degrees are 0, 1, 2, ..., n−1. (assume n > 3)

2. A tree is the most widely used special type of graph, in a sense that it is the minimal connected graph. Prove the following important lemma:

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

We can try..
(1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.

Hmm if I can't come up with an example, prob false

The answer key says..

- False. For an undirected graph, the total degree should be a even number. But 5 * 3 = 15, which is odd.
- The A contraction to the contract design of all contractions and the second sec

But I don't know what this means lol

Why should the degree be an even number?

1 77 72 1

1. True or False:

(1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.

Hmm if I can't come up with an example, prob false

We can prove it by counting



(1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.

Hmm if I can't come up with an example, prob false

We can prove it by counting

Assume for the sake of contradiction, this is possible



(1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.

Hmm if I can't come up with an example, prob false

We can prove it by counting

Assume for the sake of contradiction, this is possible

Then there must be at least 15 edges (true)



(1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.

Hmm if I can't come up with an example, prob false

We can prove it by counting

Assume for the sake of contradiction, this is possible

Then there must be at least 15 edges (true)

But the maximum number of edges in a

graph of 5 nodes is..



(1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.

Hmm if I can't come up with an example, prob false

We can prove it by counting

Assume for the sake of contradiction, this is possible

Then there must be at least 15 edges (true)

But the maximum number of edges in a

graph of 5 nodes is.. (5 choose 2) = 10

This is less than 15, contradiction!



Question 3

(Graphs)

1. True or False:

(1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.

(2) There exists a simple, undirected graph G with n vertices, whose vertex degrees are 0, 1, 2, ..., n−1. (assume n > 3)

2. A tree is the most widely used special type of graph, in a sense that it is the minimal connected graph. Prove the following important lemma:

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

We can try.. (again)

(2) There exists a simple, undirected graph G with n vertices, whose vertex degrees are 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1. (assume n > 3)

We can't do this because a vertex with degree n - 1 connects to all other vertices

There cannot be a vertex with 0 degree

Easy peasy

Question 3

(Graphs)

1. True or False:

- (1) There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.
- (2) There exists a simple, undirected graph G with n vertices, whose vertex degrees are 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1. (assume n > 3)

2. A tree is the most widely used special type of graph, in a sense that it is the minimal connected graph. Prove the following important lemma:

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

Side note: I would say this is a theorem

Lemmas: intermediate results used to prove theorems **Corollaries**: easy follow-ups to to theorems

IMO this stands on its own

Question 3

(Graphs)

1. True or False:

- There exists a simple, undirected graph with 5 nodes, each of degree 3.
- There exists a simple, undirected graph G with n vertices, whose vertex degrees are $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. (2)(assume n > 3)

2. A tree is the most widely used special type of graph, in a sense that it is the minimal connected graph. Prove the following important lemma:

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that Gis a tree.

- G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;

(3) G satisfies
$$|E| = |V| - 1$$
.

Side note: I would say this is a theorem

Lemmas: intermediate results used to prove theorems **Corollaries**: easy follow-ups to to theorems

IMO this stands on its own



 \bigcirc

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Can |E| < |V| - 1?



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Can |E| < |V| - 1?

Intuition: lose connectivity

Lets prove this



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph

Say I talk this walk starting on some vertex,





Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph

Say I talk this walk starting on some vertex,



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph

Say I talk this walk starting on some vertex,



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

|V| = 5, |E| = 3

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph

Say I talk this walk starting on some vertex,

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph

Say I talk this walk starting on some vertex,



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph

Say I talk this walk starting on some vertex,

and mark every edge I step on

For each unique vertex I visit, I had to take an edge there



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Connectivity implies I can take a walk to every vertex on the graph

Say I talk this walk starting on some vertex,

and mark every edge I step on

For each unique vertex I visit, I had to take an edge there

Since I visit |V| - 1 unique vertices (minus the start), $|E| \ge |V|$ - 1

|V| = 5, **|E| = 3**

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

```
We showed |E| \ge |V| - 1
```

Can |E| > |V| - 1?



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Can |E| > |V| - 1?



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Assume for the sake of contradiction |E| > |V| - 1





Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Assume for the sake of contradiction |E| > |V| - 1



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Assume for the sake of contradiction |E| > |V| - 1



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Assume for the sake of contradiction |E| > |V| - 1

(Anywhere I add the edge will create a cycle)

We argue this formally



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Assume for the sake of contradiction |E| > |V| - 1

Connected implies longest path in the graph is through

all |V| nodes.



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Assume for the sake of contradiction |E| > |V| - 1

Connected implies longest path in the graph is through

all |V| nodes.

But a path of |V| nodes only has |V| - 1 edges



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 2 -> 3

Suppose G is connected and acyclic

Assume for the sake of contradiction |E| > |V| - 1

Connected implies longest path in the graph is through

all |V| nodes.

But a path of |V| nodes only has |V| - 1 edges

|V| nodes with |V| edges forms a cycle, contradiction!



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 3 -> 2

Suppose connected and |E| = |V| - 1

Can there be a cycle?



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

|V| = 5, |E| = 4

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 3 -> 2

Suppose connected and |E| = |V| - 1

Can there be a cycle?

No, we showed to be connected, we need at least |V| - 1

edges.

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 3 -> 2

Suppose connected and |E| = |V| - 1

Can there be a cycle?

No, we showed to be connected, we need at least |V| - 1

edges.

Suppose there is a cycle.

|V| = 5, **|E| = 4**

Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 3 -> 2

Suppose connected and |E| = |V| - 1

Can there be a cycle?

No, we showed to be connected, we need at least |V| - 1

edges.

Suppose there is a cycle.

There is an edge you can delete to get rid of the cycle



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 1 + 3 -> 2

Suppose connected and |E| = |V| - 1

Can there be a cycle?

No, we showed to be connected, we need at least |V| - 1

edges.

Suppose there is a cycle.

There is an edge you can delete to get rid of the cycle

We still have connectivity with |V| -2 edges, contradiction



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.


Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.

What do I notice?



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.

By acyclic property, any edge I add back has to contain a

unique vertex + a seen vertex (except the starting edge)



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) G satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.

By acyclic property, any edge I add back has to contain a

unique vertex + a seen vertex (except the starting edge)



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.

By acyclic property, any edge I add back has to contain a

unique vertex + a seen vertex (except the starting edge)

The edge I start with has 2 unique vertices



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.

By acyclic property, any edge I add back has to contain a

unique vertex + a seen vertex (except the starting edge)

The edge I start with has 2 unique vertices

vertices seen = (2) + (|E| - 1) (1) = 2 + |V| - 2 = |V|



Let G be an undirected graph, any two of the following properties imply the third property, and that G is a tree.

- (1) G is connected;
- (2) G is acyclic;
- (3) *G* satisfies |E| = |V| 1.

<u>WTS</u>: 2 + 3 -> 1

Suppose acyclic and |E| = |V| - 1.

Suppose I remove all |E| edges from the graph

I place them back one by one.

By acyclic property, any edge I add back has to contain a

unique vertex + a seen vertex (except the starting edge)

The edge I start with has 2 unique vertices

vertices seen = (2) + (|E| - 1) (1) = 2 + |V| - 2 = |V|, hence connected



|V| = 5, |E| = 4

(Review)

Consider the following undirected graph drawn below. For each part below we are only asking for the order in which edges are added. Assume that the graph is represented in adjacency-list form and that each adjacency-list is given in lexicographic order.

- List the order that edges are added to the BFS tree if we run BFS starting at node A.
- List the order that edges are added to the DFS tree if we run DFS starting at node A.



DFS

(Review)

Consider the following undirected graph drawn below. For each part below we are only asking for the order in which edges are added. Assume that the graph is represented in adjacency-list form and that each adjacency-list is given in lexicographic order.

- List the order that edges are added to the BFS tree if we run BFS starting at node A.
- List the order that edges are added to the DFS tree if we run DFS starting at node A.



DFS

(Breadth-first search)

1. What is the running time of BFS if we represent its input graph by an adjacency-matrix instead of the adjacency-list representation?

2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.

BFS(s):

```
stack/queue(?) visit;
```

Add s to visit

Lets analyze cost

while visit nonempty:

v = visit.pop()

(Breadth-first search)

1. What is the running time of BFS if we represent its input graph by an adjacency-matrix instead of the adjacency-list representation?

2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.

BFS(s):

stack/queue(?) visit;

What's the cost of this when seen is a hashmap?

Add s to visit

while visit nonempty:

v = visit.pop()

(Breadth-first search)

1. What is the running time of BFS if we represent its input graph by an adjacency-matrix instead of the adjacency-list representation?

2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.

BFS(s):

```
stack/queue(?) visit;
```

What's the cost of this when seen is a hashmap? O(1)

```
Add s to visit
```

```
What's the cost of this?
```

while visit nonempty:

```
v = visit.pop()
```

(Breadth-first search)

1. What is the running time of BFS if we represent its input graph by an adjacency-matrix instead of the adjacency-list representation?

2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.

BFS(s):

```
stack/queue(?) visit;
```

Add s to visit

```
while visit nonempty:
```

```
v = visit.pop()
```

What's the cost of this when seen is a hashmap? O(1)What's the cost of this? O(# v's neighbors) <= O(|V|)

(Breadth-first search)

1. What is the running time of BFS if we represent its input graph by an adjacency-matrix instead of the adjacency-list representation?

2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.

BFS(s):

```
stack/queue(?) visit;
```

Add s to visit

while visit nonempty:

What's the cost of this when seen is a hashmap? O(1)

What's the cost of this? O(# v's neighbors) <= O(|V|)

v = visit.pop() How many iterations of the while loop?

(Breadth-first search)

1. What is the running time of BFS if we represent its input graph by an adjacency-matrix instead of the adjacency-list representation?

2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.

BFS(s):

```
stack/queue(?) visit;<br/>Add s to visit<br/>while visit nonempty:What's the cost of this when seen is a hashmap? O(1)<br/>What's the cost of this? O(\# v's neighbors) <= O(|V|)<br/>How many iterations of the while loop? O(|V|)<br/>If v not seen yet, add its neighbors to visit<br/>Total cost: O(|V|^2)
```

(Breadth-first search)

1. What is the running time of BFS if we represent its input graph by an adjacency-matrix instead of the adjacency-list representation?

2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.

BFS(s):

stack/queue(?) visit;

Diameter = length of longest path in the tree

Add s to visit

while visit nonempty:

v = visit.pop()

- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- From visual inspection, clear that diameter is g to f



- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- From visual inspection, clear that diameter is g to f
- But who says we know where the "root" is?



- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- From visual inspection, clear that diameter is g to f
- But who says we know where the "root" is?



Fun fact: this is how trees look irl (I touched grass 7 years ago)

- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- So the best we can do is just to run BFS from some starting node.



- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- So the best we can do is just to run BFS from some starting node.
- Say we run BFS(c) and return last vertex seen



- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- So the best we can do is just to run BFS from some starting node.
- Say we run BFS(c) and return last vertex seen
- We get g (this was one end point) How do we get the other? (vertex f)



- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- So the best we can do is just to run BFS from some starting node.
- Say we run BFS(c) and return last vertex seen
- We get g (this was one end point) How do we get the other? (vertex f)

Run BFS(g)



- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- So the best we can do is just to run BFS from some starting node.
- Say we run BFS(c) and return last vertex seen
- We get g (this was one end point) How do we get the other? (vertex f)





- 2. (Diameter of a tree) We know that the BFS finds the shortest path from the source s to each reachable vertex. Now let T = (V, E) be a tree and define The *diameter* of a tree dia(T) be the largest of all shortest-path distances in the tree. Think about how to use BFS to compute the diameter of a tree.
- Diameter = length of longest path in the tree
- Suppose this is my tree
- So the best we can do is just to run BFS from some starting node.
- Say we run BFS(c) and return last vertex seen
- We get g (this was one end point) How do we get the other? (vertex f)

Run BFS(g)

Proof in the solution.pdf : kinda tedious but intuitively works



(Depth-first search)

1. Is is possible that a vertex u of a directed graph G can end up in a depth-first tree containing only u, even though u has both incoming and outgoing edges in G?

2. **TRUE or False**: A directed graph G contains a path from u to v, and if u is visited before v in a DFS of G, then v must be a descendant of u in the corresponding DFS tree.

When in doubt, draw it out

(Depth-first search)

1. Is is possible that a vertex u of a directed graph G can end up in a depth-first tree containing only u, even though u has both incoming and outgoing edges in G?

2. **TRUE or False**: A directed graph G contains a path from u to v, and if u is visited before v in a DFS of G, then v must be a descendant of u in the corresponding DFS tree.

When in doubt, draw it out

HAVE A GREAT **SPRING BREAK!**